

Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1315 HB	Title: Impaired driving	Agency: 055-Administrative Office of the Courts
-----------------------------	--------------------------------	--

Part I: Estimates

☐ No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Expenditures from:

STATE	FY 2026	FY 2027	2025-27	2027-29	2029-31
State FTE Staff Years					
Account					
General Fund-State 001-1	13,500		13,500		
State Subtotal \$	13,500		13,500		
COUNTY	FY 2026	FY 2027	2025-27	2027-29	2029-31
County FTE Staff Years					
Account					
Local - Counties					
Counties Subtotal \$					
CITY	FY 2026	FY 2027	2025-27	2027-29	2029-31
City FTE Staff Years					
Account					
Local - Cities					
Cities Subtotal \$					

In addition to the estimates above, there are additional indeterminate costs and/or savings. Please see discussion.

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☒ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note for Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact: Lena Langer	Phone: 360-786-7192	Date: 01/15/2025
Agency Preparation: Devon Dieckman	Phone: 3607044020	Date: 02/11/2025
Agency Approval: Chris Stanley	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 02/11/2025
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

199,413.00

Request # 043-1

Form FN (Rev 1/00)

1

Bill # 1315 HB

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

Section 2 of this bill establishes stricter blood alcohol concentration (BAC) limits lowering it from .08 to .05. This stricter limit will likely increase the DUI caseloads of the courts requiring adjustments in case management.

Section 13 of this bill is likely to increase costs for training judicial officers and managing probation caseloads for adult offenders .

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

None

II. C - Expenditures

Updating necessary law tables and DUI sentencing grid will take \$3,500 in staff time. Additional training for judges, commissioners, and court partners is estimated to cost \$10,000.

The increase in caseloads is indeterminate. Currently the BAC is .08 and there is no data from which we can draw an estimate of case load increases from when the BAC is lowered to .05.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

State	FY 2026	FY 2027	2025-27	2027-29	2029-31
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries and Wages					
Employee Benefits					
Professional Service Contracts					
Goods and Other Services	13,500		13,500		
Travel					
Capital Outlays					
Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
Debt Service					
Interagency Reimbursements					
Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
Total \$	13,500		13,500		

In addition to the estimates above, there are additional indeterminate costs and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. D - FTE Detail

NONE

III. E - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B1 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (State)

NONE

IV. B2 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (County)

NONE

IV. B3 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (City)

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

None